

EXHIBIT 4



COHEN TAUBER SPIEVACK & WAGNER P.C.

direct: 212-381-8745
e-mail: krubinstein@ctswlaw.com

February 20, 2018

By E-mail and Federal Express

George Kendall, Esq.
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
600 Campus Drive
Florham Park, New Jersey 07932

Re: Joint Venture Related to 1071 Ramapo HUMC Road, Mahwah New Jersey

Dear Mr. Kendall:

We represent JNL Management, LLC, 1071 Ramapo Real Estate LLC, and Jonathan Lasko (collectively, "Lasko") in connection with the contemplated joint venture with Hackensack University Medical Center ("HUMC") and Carrier Clinic ("Carrier") for a behavioral health, substance abuse, detoxification, and residential rehabilitation center to be located at 1071 Ramapo HUMC Road, Mahwah New Jersey (the "Transaction").

In two separate conversations on Friday, February 16, 2018 -- one between Holly Schepisi and Audrey Murphy and Mark Sparta of HUMC, and the other between Mr. Lasko and Mr. Sparta and James Blazar of HUMC -- Lasko was informed that HUMC and Carrier were no longer willing to proceed with the Transaction because Carrier was advised by its counsel, your firm ("DBR"), that, among other things, Mr. Lasko was a "person of interest" in an ongoing United States criminal prosecution of Phillip Esformes and that he was somehow involved in the dealings of Mr. Esformes which are the subject of the pending criminal prosecution. Based on the statements made by DBR, HUMC advised Lasko that HUMC and Carrier are no longer willing to proceed with the Transaction.

During the conversations, it was further revealed that the source of such information was Antonio Pozos, a former attorney in the Criminal Fraud Division of the U.S. Department of Justice, and a current partner with DBR. Even if the statements made by DBR to Carrier and/or HUMC are somehow accurate (and we do not believe they are), it is shocking that the source of such information was a former DOJ attorney who gained access to such information while employed by, or as a result of his employment with, the DOJ.¹

Among other statements, we have been informed that DBR advised Carrier and/or HUMC, in sum or substance, that:

¹ We are currently contemplating appropriate action to take in that regard.

George Kendall, Esq.
February 20, 2018
Page 2 of 3

- Mr. Lasko is a “person of interest” in connection with the Esformes matter;
- the DOJ is “not done with” Mr. Lasko;
- Mr. Esformes had a financial interest in JNL Management;
- Mr. Lasko had or has a business relationship with a “Mr. Delgado,” a person who is involved with the Esformes matter; and
- Mr. Lasko is being investigated for possibly referring patients to facilities in which Mr. Esformes had or has an interest.

Please immediately advise if DBR did not make, in sum or substance, any of the foregoing statements; if DBR fails to substantively respond, we will presume that such statements were in fact made.²

Please be advised that Lasko will seek to hold DBR liable for any and all damages that result from DBR’s disclosure of information about Mr. Lasko upon which HUMC and Carrier based their decision not to proceed with the Transaction. In this regard, DBR should place its insurance provider on notice of this matter. *Please confirm that you have provided such notice.*

Finally, this serves as notice that DBR is under an obligation to preserve all records in its possession, custody, or control that relate to the Transaction and the disclosure of information discussed above. This preservation requirement extends not only to hard copy documents, but electronically stored information (“ESI”) as well. Therefore, it is imperative that DBR immediately take measures to preserve the integrity of its electronic files and all other information maintained on its computer systems (whether business or personal computers). This includes, without limitation, e-mail and other electronic communications, word processing documents, spreadsheets, photos, appraisals, databases, calendars, telephone logs, internet usage files and network access information. DBR should also preserve all data storage devices in its possession or in the possession of a third-party vendor, including all databases, networks, computer systems, servers, archives, backup or disaster recovery systems, tapes, discs, drives, cartridges and other storage media, laptops, personal computers, internet data, handheld wireless devices, mobile telephones, paging devices and audio systems (including voicemail).

Further, as part of DBR’s obligation to preserve ESI, DBR must immediately suspend any document destruction policies currently in effect. If there are any laptops or computers that are not connected to a server or network or are not backed up, they should be backed up immediately to preserve the data in the event any hard drive crashes. If DBR or any of its employees, agents, or representatives have any related ESI on home or personal computers, it must be preserved as well. *Please confirm that DBR is taking appropriate preservation measures.*

² To the extent DBR is unwilling to confirm or deny having made such statements on the basis of attorney-client privilege, such privilege has already been waived by Carrier’s disclosure of such communications and/or such statements having been made to HUMC.

George Kendall, Esq.
February 20, 2018
Page 3 of 3

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'KR', with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Kenneth J. Rubinstein

cc: Holly Schepisi, Esq.
Mr. Jonathan Lasko